

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As
of:
Oct
12,
2016
Rec
Oct
04,
2016
Stat
Post
Posi
Oct
11,
2016
Tra
No.
1k0-
8s9s
yl9x
Con
Due
Oct
17,
2016
Sub
Typ
Wel

Docket: EPA-HQ-OPP-2016-0385
FIFRA Scientific Advisory Panel; Notice of Public Meeting

Comment On: EPA-HQ-OPP-2016-0385-0001
Meetings: Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act Scientific Scientific Advisory
Panel

Document: EPA-HQ-OPP-2016-0385-0328
Comment submitted by A. DeLuca

Submitter Information

General Comment

As a manager of natural foods cooperatives since the mid 1970's, I strongly believe in the Precautionary Principle. Why take a risk when more research could clear up any disagreement about the safety of glyphosate?

The only comment I want to make is that the Seralini research that was retracted leaves was reprinted in a peer reviewed journal. So at least some competent scientists still believe that glyphosate is a potential carcinogen.

"An in vivo study of Roundup administered to rats in drinking water diluted to 50ng/L glyphosate equivalence - half of the level permitted in drinking water in the EU12 and 14,000 times lower than that permitted in drinking water in the USA - resulted in severe organ damage and a trend of increased incidence of mammary tumours in female animals over a 2-year period of exposure: Seralini G-E, Clair E, Mesnage R, et al. Republished study: long-term toxicity of a Roundup herbicide and a Roundup-tolerant genetically modified maize. Environ Sci Eur. 2014;26(14). doi:10.1186/s12302-014-0014-5. This study was re-published and thus is not 'retracted' as stated in the latest EPA review."

A J DeLuca, General Manager, Abundance Food Co-op, 62 Marshall St., Rochester, NY 14607